

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/601,267	KEITH, WILLIAM NICOL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Christopher M. Babic	1637	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Christopher M. Babic.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Kathleen D. Rigaut, Ph.D., J.D..

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 February 2006
**Time:** 4:00PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5 and 48

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner's amendment was agreed upon to avoid indefiniteness issue with the phrase "...or gene..." in Claim 5 and 48.

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 2/22/06

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)